



SUMP Supporting Programmes in Europe

Tom RYE | Edinburgh Napier University, Scotland, UK

Aljaž PLEVNIK | Urban Planning Institute of the Republic of Slovenia

6th European Conference on Sustainable Urban Mobility Plans

17-18 JUNE 2019 | GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS





PROSPERITY – National SUMP programmes

ASSESS
existing
programmes

EXCHANGE
between & within
countries

BUILD
new
programmes



COUNTRIES INVOLVED

Country	HLG
Belgium/ Flanders	Department of Mobility and Public Works of the Flemish Region
Bulgaria	Regional Government of the Varna
Croatia	Ministry of Maritime Affairs, Transport Infrastructure
Cyprus	Ministry of Transport, Communication
Czech R.	Ministry of Transport
Germany	BMUB – Federal Environment Ministry
Hungary	Ministry for National Economy Hungarian State Treasury
Lithuania	Ministry of Transport and Communications
Poland	Ministry of Infrastructure and Development
Portugal	IMT
Romania	Romanian development agency
Slovenia	Ministry of infrastructure
Spain/ Catalonia	Mobility Department in the Diputación de Barcelona
Sweden	Trafikverket



**National SUMP Supporting
Programmes**

PROSPERITY actions on NSSP

- 7 international exchange of experience events – national ministries/agencies.




- 55 national promotional events, involving national and local levels
- 15 National task forces to develop new/improved NSSP

Method of developing/improving NSSP

- Set up **National Task Force (TF)** as in PROSPERITY
- Members of TF:
 - Well-connected **effective National Focal Point**
 - **Ministries**
 - Key **cities**
- Activities of TF:
 - **National networking, learning** – SWOT analysis of existing national programme
 - Identify **strong points of NSSP elsewhere**
 - Develop **action plan/Roadmap** for new/improved NSSP

Impact of PROSPERITY on NSSPs

- Ministries and agencies of 15 countries or regions involved in PROSPERITY.
- 2016 NSSPs with more than national guidance document existed in 8, 4 countries no NSSP
- 2019, 3 countries had begun NSSPs
- 2019 existing NSSPs improved with new guidance, bespoke support, funding
- Ministries working on SUMP that previously did not



CONCLUSIONS

The most effective elements of an NSSP:

- **Finance linked to guidance** – municipalities get the money if they develop good SUMP's – as in Lithuania
- **Bespoke support and advice** to municipalities, preferably in person – as in Flanders (BE)
- **Legislation** as in Catalunya (ES)
- **National platforms** to share experience - Slovenia
- **National guidance** – local context – Sweden

Learn from each other!

Aljaž Plevnik, UIRS (SI)

aljazp@uirs.si

Tom Rye, ENU (UK)

t.rye@napier.ac.uk

Legal Disclaimer: The sole responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein. All images are provided by the respective partners (unless otherwise noted) and are approved for reproduction in this publication.



CIVITAS PROSPERITY has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 690636



THE CIVITAS INITIATIVE
IS CO-FINANCED BY THE
EUROPEAN UNION