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General context, methodology

- SUMP – from the point of view of the Ministry of Transport (MoT) - complex, strategical document, preparation quite time-demanding
- SUMF (Sustainable urban mobility framework) – first part of SUMP with emphasis on the public transport, sufficient for the substantiation of projects cofinanced from ESIF
- Principal methodological document: Guidelines for the preparation of the SUMPs of the cities in the CR
Reasons for SUMP/SUMF creation

• Main reason – need for the transport problems solution in a strategical and comprehensive way
• For cities above 50,000 inhabitants: substantiation of the projects cofinanced from ESIF (selected interventions)
• Binding for the OPT (+IROP) projects submitted in the calls for proposal published after 2017 (minimal requirement is the SUMF level)
• This condition is a result of the negotiation with the EC
Committee for assessing SUMPs

• Committee for assessing the SUMPs – verification of compliance of submitted SUMPs (+SUMFs) with main points of the Guidelines
• Established by the First Deputy Minister
• 7 members, the chairman is the Director of Strategy Department, other members – MoT, Ministry of Regional Development, Transport Research Centre, academical sector
• Assessment in accordance with the Rules of procedure
Criteria for SUMP assessment

• The scope of the included area
• The principle of partnership
• The usage of transport model
• The compliance with main strategical documents (at city, state and EU level)
• The quality of the analysis of the main transport problems in the city including wider context
Criteria for SUMP assessment (2)

• The identification of the main objectives including the laid down scenarios
• The identification of financial plan including operational costs and investments
Outputs

• The output of the Committee for assessing the SUMP/SUMF is not only the verification of the SUMP/SUMF but also recommendations for further development of the documents

• Actual result: 8 SUMP/SUMF assessed, out of which 2 SUMP + 5 SUMFs verified
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