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Who?

- Local authorities for mobility (LA - groups of municipalities)
- Mandatory for LA in cities over 100,000 inhabitants
- Possible for other LA

What?

- Cross-thematic: Sustainable development, mobility for all, safety, car use decreasing
- Topics: Public transport, intermodality, active modes, road network management and sharing, parking, freight, mobility management, electromobility

How?

- Process defined by law: elaboration, stakeholder involvement, public enquiry, evaluation, update, consistency with other thematic or regional / national plans, ...
- Possibility to merge PDU and the land-use plan in one single document
- Evaluation every 5 years

11 mandatory objectives:

- Since 1996 (created in 1982)
- 100 PDU
- 50 % of Local authorities engaged in mobility planning
- 66 % of the French population covered by a mobility plan
### Local authority for mobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision to elaborate a PDU</th>
<th>Stakeholders involvement</th>
<th>Draft PDU</th>
<th>Public enquiry</th>
<th>Final PDU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- **Stakeholders consultation**
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Initial assistance: legal and technical framework

Major challenges from the State’s point of view

Involvement of State as a stakeholder
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Official notice

Legal control
• All stakeholders (LA, State departments, consultancies) provided with guidelines and methodology but difficulty to maintain a high level of expertise for such a number of State experts

• Several opportunities to guide the SUMP elaboration and a final control but without any template structure for the PDU document

• Mandatory evaluation every 5 years potentially leading to a new SUMP but no specific requirement on content or on process, no control

• Possibility to have LA taken to court
  ✓ A risk to have the PDU cancelled and lose all its legal effect on other planning document: land use plan, municipalities decisions about traffic and car parking
  ✓ An incentive for LA to respect the legal framework
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