

1 August 2017

0.1

To be submitted to ua-urbanmobility@ecorys.com

FIRST DRAFT – TO BE DISCUSSED

From Scoping Fiche towards the Action Plan

This document is a tool to help us getting from the scoping fiche towards the action plan. At the end of the document you can find some of the parts from the scoping fiche.

Now that the main (sub)topics have been defined in the scoping fiche and at the PUM meeting, we can elaborate further the possible and needed actions. Please fill for each action and for each good example a table.

Topic: Governance and planning

Sub-topic: Sustainable Urban Mobility Plans

DEFINING POTENTIAL ACTIONS (*copy the table for each action – ONE ACTION PER TABLE*)

Criteria	Explanation
What is the problem and where does it occur?	In many urban areas across the EU, urban mobility remains heavily depend on private car use and source of negative externalities (congestion, GHG, pollutant and noise emission, traffic accidents, etc.) Against this background, cities are encouraged to implement forward-looking and sustainable strategies for urban mobility (such as Sustainable Urban Mobility Plans) that are suitable to address successfully the challengers related to current urban mobility patterns. Cities should not be left alone in this but supported from the regional, national and/or European level. However, progress in the development and implementation of integrated urban mobility policies remains slow and urban transport-related problems persists. A clearer picture is needed for the state-of-play of the SUMP concept; about where the main bottlenecks lie for the broader implementation of sustainable urban mobility policies; and about what is and should be done at EU and national level in support of cities.
What is the needed/possible action ?	<p><u>Action: Supporting the uptake of SUMPs</u></p> <p><u>Action 1:</u> Create an overview of the state-of-play for implementing urban transport plans (starting point: city database of the SUMP platform, on ELTIS). Local level, starting with cities over 100,000.</p> <p><u>Action 2:</u> Present an analysis of the national frameworks (policy, regulatory, knowledge, financing) that exist in the 28 MS (starting point: country profiles of the SUMP platform, on ELTIS)</p> <p><u>Action 3:</u> Identify and disseminate best practice examples of cities with good SUMPs that can serve as points of reference for others.</p> <p><u>Action 4:</u> Develop a multi-annual work programme for the SUMP Platform (and linking it to other relevant initiatives at EU and MS level)</p>

	<p>Action 5: Conduct, for each MS, an "assessment of present and future performance of urban mobility" and review of the "tools at the disposal of local planning authorities".</p> <p>[For all actions see for reference page 4, COM(2013)913]</p>									
Potential for improvement. Thick the relevant box(es)	Better regulation	x	Better funding	x	Better knowledge	x				
Which level does it concern? Thick the relevant box(es)	EU	X	national	x	regional	x	local	x		
Expertise available – is it possible for the partnership to mobilise the necessary expertise?	<p><i>In principle the expertise is available to the partnership, using</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>the information accessible via the PUM partners (in particular the city networks)</i> - <i>the information accessible via the SUMP Platform</i> - <i>the information accessible via the Member States (both PUM partner MS and via the Expert Group on Urban Mobility)</i> 									
Which expertise is needed?	<p>-<i>Expertise on SUMPs and on MS action on urban mobility. Expertise is available in PUM partnership and via contacts to SUMP Platform and MS Expert Group on Urban Mobility</i></p>									
Added value – unique to this partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>There is long tradition of EU action for urban mobility. But EU policy has highlighted that MS need to become more involved in EU-wide action on sustainable urban mobility.</i> - <i>With the Urban Agenda and the Pact of Amsterdam, the MS have committed to engage (together with partners from EU and local level, as well as other stakeholders) in a partnership process that will contribute to tackling the problems that EU urban areas are facing.</i> - <i>The PUM will generate its added value if MS become more active partners in the EU-wide effort on sustainable urban mobility.</i> - <i>The UA/PUM framework provides uni</i> 									
How do existing EU policies / legislations / instruments contribute?	<p>Urban mobility is important for several EU policy areas: transport, regional development and cohesion, environment, climate, etc.</p> <p>Urban mobility is related to and impacted by action in these different policy areas. Relevant Instruments and actions include legislation (e.g. on air quality; PSOs; noise; etc.)</p>									
How to implement the action? (Process)	<p>Action 1: SUMP Platform and PUM partners (in particular cities and city networks) agree to make a joint effort to complete the city data base for cities over 100,000 by mid-2018</p> <p>Action 2: MS (through MS Expert Group) commit to preparing an analyses of the national frameworks for sustainable urban mobility planning by mid-2019. PUM partners and SUMP Platforms will provide guidance/template for the exercise by mid-2018.</p> <p>Action 3: PUM partners together with the SUMP Platform will work together to identify and disseminate best practice examples for SUMPs: Step 1: Establish methodology (by mid 2018). Step 2: Identify best practice examples (by autumn 2018). Dissemination start with event in 2019 (ex. SUMP conference in spring)</p> <p>Action 4: Start discussions on how to do this at next meeting of the SUMP coordinators.</p> <p>Action 5: Discuss with MS in PUM and Urban Mobility Expert group if they</p>									

	are ready to commit to such exercise. Development of methodology by end of 2018. Present results by end 2019.
--	---

GOOD EXAMPLES *(filled with an example - copy the table for each good practice)*

Comment [G11]: Not quite sure what to do with this section. Maybe we complete later.

Subtopic	National frameworks and local institutional set-ups for SUMPs		
Put a x at the relevant box(es)	Better regulation X	Better funding	Better knowledge x
Put a x at the relevant box(es)	Good policy X	Good governance X	Good practice X
Good policy, governance, practice [Name of the project; A few words only]	Example France and the Plans de déplacements urbains (PDU): Concept, regulatory framework, support structure, local governance approaches (AOTs)		
Explanation [Description of the project, how it works, what are the results, what were the difficulties, what are the key success factors, etc.]	See http://www.eltis.org/mobility-plans/member-state/france		
Key success and failure factors [What are the key factors that determine success], the partners, timeline and financial elements based on evaluative process]	Example: + Being used by both locals and tourists (..) - Redistribution of bikes not working well		
Experience to be transferred [What are the key elements that are useful to other cities, other urban stakeholders?]	Example: Building up a user friendly bike sharing system (..)		
Further information [Website, contact person, etc.]	Example: www.....fi. Mr Ali at City of Helsinki, ...@..fi		

CONTEXT *(was already in scoping fiche)*

A. Description of the sub-topic

B. Bottlenecks identified (why is the problem still existing)

C. Emerging evidence base

C1. Mapping of existing initiatives, practices and networks

C2. Mapping of relevant EU policies/legislation/funding instruments

D. Mapping of relevant expertise

D1. Mapping of relevant expertise within the Partnership

D2. Mapping of links with other Urban Agenda Partnerships